

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

my

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,047		07/11/2002	Ivar Mendez	GRON-3402	6583
5409	7590	12/17/2003		EXAM	INER
ARLEN L			WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE		
	SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS 3 LEAR JET LANE				PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 201 LATHAM, NY 12110				3763	8
				DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		1					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/088,047	MENDEZ, IVAR					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Catherine S. Williams	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>11 March 2002</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the applic	ation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 8-14</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 8-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7 and 15-37</u> is/are objected to							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	] accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection t	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	,	• •					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu. 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B  * See the attached detailed Office action for 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor reference was included in the first sentence.	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. the first sentence of the specific the provisional application has b mestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet. een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	l8) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)  .					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 10/088,047

Art Unit: 3763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the second recitation of "a syringe plunger" should read –the syringe plunger—if applicant is referring to the syringe in the preamble. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities: the dependency of claim 20 seems to be incorrect. It seems that 20 should depend from 19. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Desai (US Pat# 6,461,296). Desai discloses a microinjector and a cannula with a single passageway, a blunt closed tip and a pair of side holes that are diametrically opposed and slightly offset. See figure 19A. See figures 10-13 for the embodiments of the cannula tip with side holes.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,047

Art Unit: 3763

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Desai. Desai meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include the dimensions and materials as claimed.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to make the cannula the dimensions as claimed. The Federal Circuit has held, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimension/size/proportion of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently that the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to make the device from the materials claimed. It has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. The motivation for using medical grade plastics or metals would have been in order to reduce the incidence of allergic reaction of the skin to contact with non-medical grade materials.

88,047 Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/088,047

Art Unit: 3763

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-7, 15-37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 703-308-4846.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2192.

Catherine S. Williams (97w). December 12, 2003

SUTTINSONY NY VENT EXAMENER TECHNOLOGY CONTER 3700